

Dispute Resolution Hotline

May 11, 2022

DELHI HIGH COURT'S GUIDANCE ON CONVERSION RATE FOR FOREIGN CURRENCY DENOMINATED ARBITRAL AWARDS

- No distinction between foreign award or foreign decree insofar as conversion rate of foreign currency at the time of enforcement;
- Nationality of parties is irrelevant for the purposes of determining the conversation rate of foreign currency;
- Parties should ensure that reference date of conversion rate is mentioned in an award or pleadings in order to avoid needless litigation;

INTRODUCTION & BACKGROUND

A contractual dispute had arisen between M/s Karam Chand Thapar & Co (“**Petitioner**”) and MMTC (“**Respondent**”), which was referred to arbitration. The arbitral tribunal had passed an award in favour of the Petitioner, which was being enforced by the Petitioner before the Hon'ble Delhi High Court (“**Court**”). Although a substantial part of the award stood satisfied, the matter was argued in Court as the Court had to decide the foreign exchange conversion rate applicable to the extent of the amount awarded in foreign currency.

The Petitioner contended that the reference date to determine the rate of conversion should be the date on which the award attained finality, i.e. the date on which the Respondent's Special Leave Petition (“**SLP**”) was dismissed by the Supreme Court. However, according to the Respondent, the date of reference to determine the conversion rate should be the date on which the Petitioner first made a demand for the amounts payable.

ANALYSIS OF THE ISSUE

The Court relied on the Supreme Court verdict of *Forasol v. Oil and Natural Gas Commission*¹, wherein the Supreme Court had held that the date on which a *decree* had become final would be the relevant date for determining the applicable exchange rate.

The Respondent had contended that the decision in *Forasol* could not be relied upon, considering that one of the parties in that case was a foreign entity. However, the Court refused to accept this ground of differentiation. The Court held that an executing court could not determine the exchange rate to be used for enforcing decrees based on whether both the parties were Indian entities or not. Further, it was also held that a commercial transaction between Indian parties could happen outside the Indian territory thereby involving foreign currencies. Therefore, the *nationality* of the disputing parties could not be considered to differentiate between enforcement of a decree/award where amounts are decreed/awarded in a foreign currency.

In light of the above, the reference date to determine the rate of exchange to be applied for computing the amount payable was held to be the date on which the Respondent's SLP was dismissed by the Supreme Court and the Award attained finality.

TAKEAWAYS

The agreement under which disputes had been referred to arbitration proceedings did not contain any provision regarding conversion of a foreign currency component in an award into Indian currency. Further, the pleadings leading to the award also did not indicate the conversion rate for computing the amount awarded in US Dollar into Indian Rupee and consequently the rupee equivalent of the claim. Due to this, while a substantial part of the award stood satisfied, the parties actually spent time, effort and money before the enforcement court as well as the appeal courts in adjudicating the aspect of what rate of conversion would apply to the award before them to ascertain the rupee equivalent of the awarded monies.

While a view exists, that arbitration has become needlessly expensive and time consuming, parties should take basic care and ensure that they do not spend, time, effort and resources in litigating a settled position of law. While one does not expect an agreement to stipulate the currency exchange rate due to the very nature of fluctuating rates, one would presume that as on the date on which a claim is crystallised in the statement of claim, basic foresight and care should be taken to include the conversion rate in the prayers to ensure that such situations do not arise.

– Arjun Gupta & Sahil Kanuga

(We acknowledge and thank Vyoma Joshi, Student Government Law College, University of Mumbai for her assistance on this hotline.)

Research Papers

FAQs on Setting Up of Offices in India

December 13, 2024

FAQs on Downstream Investment

December 13, 2024

Gaming Law 2024

December 12, 2024

Research Articles

The Revolution Realized: Bitcoin's Triumph

December 05, 2024

The Bitcoin Effect

November 14, 2024

Acquirers Beware: Indian Merger Control Regime Revamped!

September 15, 2024

Audio

Securities Market Regulator's Continued Quest Against “Unfiltered” Financial Advice

December 18, 2024

Digital Lending - Part 1 - What's New with NBFC P2Ps

November 19, 2024

Renewable Roadmap: Budget 2024 and Beyond - Part I

August 26, 2024

NDA Connect

Connect with us at events, conferences and seminars.

NDA Hotline

Click here to view Hotline archives.

Video

“Investment return is not enough” Nishith Desai with Nikunj Dalmia (ET Now) at FI8 event in Riyadh

October 31, 2024

Analysing SEBI's Consultation Paper on Simplification of registration for FPIs

¹ (1984) Supp SCC 263

DISCLAIMER

The contents of this hotline should not be construed as legal opinion. View detailed disclaimer.

This Hotline provides general information existing at the time of preparation. The Hotline is intended as a news update and Nishith Desai Associates neither assumes nor accepts any responsibility for any loss arising to any person acting or refraining from acting as a result of any material contained in this Hotline. It is recommended that professional advice be taken based on the specific facts and circumstances. This Hotline does not substitute the need to refer to the original pronouncements.

This is not a Spam mail. You have received this mail because you have either requested for it or someone must have suggested your name. Since India has no anti-spamming law, we refer to the US directive, which states that a mail cannot be considered Spam if it contains the sender's contact information, which this mail does. In case this mail doesn't concern you, please unsubscribe from mailing list.

Scope of judicial interference and inquiry in an application for appointment of arbitrator under the (Indian) Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996

September 22, 2024