

Tax Hotline

July 18, 2001

FIRST BLOOD ON TRANSFER-PRICING CASE IN INDIA

Income-tax (**I-T**) authorities in Mumbai, India in their first-ever transfer-pricing-related case, have initiated penalty proceedings against Roussel India, on charges of allegedly overinvoicing the intermediates it had imported from a related company in France. Roussel's control over the Indian Company is through its 100 per cent subsidiary Roussel Laboratories, in the UK, which has a 33.33 per cent stake in Roussel India.

There are common directors in Roussel Uclaf, Roussel Laboratories UK and Roussel India, so as to be governed by the related party transaction norms. Before the introduction of the transfer pricing regulations in India, which was done in the Budget for the year 2001, the provisions of Section 92 of the Indian Income-Tax Act, 1961, dealt with transactions entered between residents and non-residents, for making additions in its assessment.

Interestingly, the Revenue department in this case did the transfer-pricing assessment much before the government enacted the new transfer-pricing laws.

The department has contended that the over-invoicing was done for the purpose of recording a loss and, thereby, evading taxes in India. The transactions relate to assessment years 1996-97 and 1997-98. Roussel India had merged with pharmaceutical major Hoechst Marion Roussel in 1998. The transactions in this case were the import of cefotaxim sodium and roxithromycin, materials used for making Claforan and Rulide - both antibiotics, from Roussel Uclaf, France. According to the I-T authorities, the pricing strategy adopted by Roussel Uclaf and Roussel India was intended to project revenues, which were less than the actuals.

I-T authorities contended that Uclaf overinvoiced exports to India to such an extent that Indian operation to manufacture Claforan appeared to be running in a loss. Roussel Uclaf and Roussel India are related companies and, hence, Roussel Uclaf controlled the transaction.

The alleged overinvoicing of cefotaxim compared to other importer manufacturers were 88 per cent and 164 per cent for 1996-97 and 1997-98, respectively, according to the estimation of I-T authorities. Similarly, in percentile terms, import of roxithromycin was overinvoiced 109 per cent and 103 per cent respectively, for assessment years 1996-97 and 1997-98.

The case is now pending before the Commissioner (Appeal), Income Tax, which is the first Appellate Level for litigation before the revenue authorities.

Source: *The Economic times* June 12, 2001

DISCLAIMER

The contents of this hotline should not be construed as legal opinion. View detailed disclaimer.

This Hotline provides general information existing at the time of preparation. The Hotline is intended as a news update and Nishith Desai Associates neither assumes nor accepts any responsibility for any loss arising to any person acting or refraining from acting as a result of any material contained in this Hotline. It is recommended that professional advice be taken based on the specific facts and circumstances. This Hotline does not substitute the need to refer to the original pronouncements.

This is not a Spam mail. You have received this mail because you have either requested for it or someone must have suggested your name. Since India has no anti-spamming law, we refer to the US directive, which states that a mail cannot be considered Spam if it contains the sender's contact information, which this mail does. In case this mail doesn't concern you, please unsubscribe from mailing list.

Research Papers

Evolution of Generative AI

July 11, 2024

From Capital to Impact: Role of Blended Finance

June 15, 2024

Opportunities in GIFT City

June 14, 2024

Research Articles

Private Client Insights - Sustainable Success: How Family Constitutions can Shape Corporate Governance, Business Succession and Familial Legacy

January 25, 2024

Private Equity and M&A in India: What to Expect in 2024?

January 23, 2024

Emerging Legal Issues with use of Generative AI

October 27, 2023

Audio

Pursuing Remedies against Non-signatories in Investment Agreements

July 03, 2024

Why is the ad industry unhappy with MIB's self-declaration mandate?

June 18, 2024

Incorporation of arbitral clause by reference: Position in India and other Asian Jurisdictions

June 12, 2024

NDA Connect

Connect with us at events, conferences and seminars.

NDA Hotline

[Click here to view Hotline archives.](#)

Video

Self Declaration Certificate For Ads: Decoding The Complexities Of Ad Regulations

July 08, 2024

**Future of India-Mauritius tax treaty –
Impact of new Protocol on M&A
deals and Private Equity structures**

April 23, 2024

**Q&A 2024 Protocol to the Mauritius
India Tax Treaty**

April 22, 2024