

## Dispute Resolution Hotline

February 21, 2019

### COMPARATIVE ADVERTISEMENTS VS. PRODUCT DISPARAGEMENT: WALKING THE THIN LINE

This article was originally published on 6<sup>th</sup> February, 2019 in Master Class column of



#### SUMMARY:

With fast-paced competition, every brand wants to be in the evoked set of consumer's brand and hence, Companies often resort to comparative advertising in order to grab consumer attention. Companies use comparative advertisements to promote, compare and highlight the superiority of its product with that of the competitor. However, in doing so, there is a tendency to cross the line and stray into the realm of product disparagement.

In this article (to access click [here](#)), we inter alia discuss two recent judgments on the permissibility of comparative advertisements published by Amul (against Kwality) and Complan (against Horlicks).

We have provided a precedential backdrop w.r.t. the evolution of jurisprudential principles, thereby deliberating on the thin, yet shifting lines between comparative advertisement and product disparagement. The courts now appear to acknowledge the flexibility that an advertiser ought to be permitted to exaggerate the strengths of a product and indulge in puffery as long it's not misleading, unfair, deceptive and falsely disparages a rival product or even a class of products.

– Puja Saha & Siddharth Ratho

You can direct your queries or comments to the authors

#### DISCLAIMER

The contents of this hotline should not be construed as legal opinion. View detailed disclaimer.

This Hotline provides general information existing at the time of preparation. The Hotline is intended as a news update and Nishith Desai Associates neither assumes nor accepts any responsibility for any loss arising to any person acting or refraining from acting as a result of any material contained in this Hotline. It is recommended that professional advice be taken based on the specific facts and circumstances. This Hotline does not substitute the need to refer to the original pronouncements.

This is not a Spam mail. You have received this mail because you have either requested for it or someone must have suggested your name. Since India has no anti-spamming law, we refer to the US directive, which states that a mail cannot be considered Spam if it contains the sender's contact information, which this mail does. In case this mail doesn't concern you, please unsubscribe from mailing list.

## Research Papers

### Evolution of Generative AI

July 11, 2024

### From Capital to Impact: Role of Blended Finance

June 15, 2024

### Opportunities in GIFT City

June 14, 2024

## Research Articles

### Private Client Insights - Sustainable Success: How Family Constitutions can Shape Corporate Governance, Business Succession and Familial Legacy

January 25, 2024

### Private Equity and M&A in India: What to Expect in 2024?

January 23, 2024

### Emerging Legal Issues with use of Generative AI

October 27, 2023

## Audio

### Pursuing Remedies against Non-signatories in Investment Agreements

July 03, 2024

### Why is the ad industry unhappy with MIB's self-declaration mandate?

June 18, 2024

### Incorporation of arbitral clause by reference: Position in India and other Asian Jurisdictions

June 12, 2024

## NDA Connect

Connect with us at events, conferences and seminars.

## NDA Hotline

[Click here to view Hotline archives.](#)

## Video

### Self Declaration Certificate For Ads: Decoding The Complexities Of Ad Regulations

July 08, 2024

**Future of India-Mauritius tax treaty –  
Impact of new Protocol on M&A  
deals and Private Equity structures**

April 23, 2024

**Q&A 2024 Protocol to the Mauritius  
India Tax Treaty**

April 22, 2024

---