

Regulatory Hotline

April 16, 2018

VIEWS: CAN LAW AND POLICY PROMOTE A CULTURE OF INNOVATION?

This article was originally published in the 13th April 2018 edition of

THE TIMES OF INDIA

While India has its share of unicorns, most have adapted global business models. We are yet to see Indian companies revolutionizing the global market. **Steve Wozniak**, co-founder of Apple, recently attributed this lack of “creativity” to our education system, an often ignored but important aspect are sound governmental policies that promote innovation and laws which don’t impede it.

It’s now a cliché that law lags behind technological innovations. Therefore, the question then arises, is there a need for governmental intervention? If yes, why and to what extent? Often, the tendency to introduce regulation is due to the fear of the unknown or as a reaction to the actions of one rouge industry player.

Therefore, the onus is on the industry players to act responsibly.

Innovative businesses need to voluntarily self-regulate to ensure that the interests of all the stakeholders including the government are respected. The government must act as an enabler and work in collaboration with the industry to identify and plug gaps in the present policy.

We saw a spate of new drafts being released recently by way of a Digital Information Security in Health Care Act., **Committee** report on Artificial Intelligence, Committee to regulate online content, Space Activities Bill, Drone Policy, consultations to regulate the Cloud, M2M, among others. While the consultative process of is commendable, the need and timing of each of these drafts is yet to be determined. In this context we must evaluate the steps taken by law makers and suggest a possible approach for regulatory intervention which can be adopted when a new technology gains critical mass to ensure that laws do not act as an impediment to innovation.

- **How should law and policy be adopted in the context of the Indian situation**--Net neutrality principles as are adopted in developed countries may not necessarily fit in well in the Indian context in an as –is manner without taking into account the development of the infrastructure, the role of telecom operators, development of OTT services, and others.
- **Examine what is the likely mischief and whether the current law is sufficient to address that.** An example, with the increasing importance of data, data theft, is a possible mischief. Therefore, it is to be evaluated whether the existing provisions of “theft” under the Indian Penal Code adequately address that mischief? Law of tort is often an ignored body of law in India. Several principles such as vicarious liability, negligence, trespass and nuisance can be applied in several instances. E.g, in cases of accidents by driverless cars, the contributory negligence principles under tort may very well apply.
- **Focus on implementation of present laws.** Given that the government is actively repealing irrelevant laws, before enacting new laws, the focus needs to be addressing issues arising with the implementation of the existing laws. One of the reasons identified for the introduction of a new data protection framework was the fact that the implementation of the existing data protection laws had failed.
- **Examining the extent of regulation in line with purpose.** When digital payments increased, the risk of misuse of customer funds spawned and therefore a technological solution in the form of two-factor authentication was introduced. However, the establishment of two-factor authentication, the additional imposition of monetary limit to the transactions, may not necessarily be required.
- **Intervene only where there is a specific and recognized need to intervene.** There must be a demonstrated failure of the industry to act responsibly which warrants governmental intervention

Proud Moments

IFLR 1000 Asia Pacific 2018: Ranked in **Tier 1 for TMT, Private Equity**

Legal 500 2018: Ranked in **Tier 1 for Dispute Resolution, Labour & Employment, Investment Funds, TMT and Tax**

Chambers and Partners Asia Pacific 2018: Ranked in **Tier 1 for Tax, Labour & Employment and TMT**

Nishith Desai Associates announced '**Most Innovative Law Firm in Asia-Pacific**' by **Financial Times** in its FT Asia-Pacific Innovative Lawyers 2016 report

Research Papers

Interim Reliefs in Arbitral Proceedings: Powerplay between Courts and Tribunals

June 30, 2018

Enforcement of Arbitral Awards and Decrees in India: Domestic and Foreign

June 30, 2018

The Future is here: Artificial Intelligence and Robotics

May 31, 2018

Research Articles

Evolving HR Law: Giving GCs Sleepless Nights?

June 01, 2017

India confirms intent to sign BEPS multilateral instrument curbing tax avoidance

May 17, 2017

Public Policy and Arbitrability Challenges to the Enforcement of Foreign Awards in India

May 08, 2017

Audio

Webinar: The Authorities are here: Plan and Prepare to handle a crisis, before it happens

June 27, 2018

demerit-based failure of the industry to act responsibly which warrants governmental intervention. E.g. the RBI in a surprise move recently introduced a data localization requirement for all payment systems. This requirement has taken the entire industry by surprise since it was introduced without any public consultation and there does not appear to be any specific incident or market failure to have precipitated this requirement

- **What sanctions should be imposed?** Whether the law should impose only civil liability or criminal as well, if there is a point of contention. India is on the cusp of introducing data protection law. One aspect that has been debated is whether the imposition of penal sanctions is required. There has been ideation by a Committee of Experts to allow criminal penalty for violations concerning data protection. However, criminal sanctions will only deter innovation and make businesses risk averse. Professors [Elizabeth Pollman](#) & Jordan M. Barry in their paper on Regulatory Entrepreneurship recognize that *"if a law provides for the incarceration of the executives of a company that violate it, that may deter the guerrilla growth strategies that some modern regulatory entrepreneurs employ"*.
- **Building capacity.** It appears that whenever the government is not comfortable in understanding how a technology works, its first response is to try and ban a technological advancement as was the case with drones in India in 2014. Later the government progressed in its outlook by recognizing the possible benefits / uses of drones and introducing a draft policy in that regard. With several such examples, the RBI's recent directions against virtual currencies seems inexplicable. The mere fact that a technology or business model is possible of being misused cannot be grounds for banning an entire industry, this goes against the ethos of an innovation based economy. Therefore, it is imperative that the regulators, industry participants and technical experts all work together to assuage any concerns before a formal policy is unveiled.
- **Are we giving enough clarity to businesses?** Two points here. First, more often than not, regulators and law makers make statements indicating an intention to regulate new technology or business models which create uncertainty in the market without any legislative or policy being enacted as was the case with bitcoins and is now playing out with the MIB's plans to regulate online content and news. Second, since technologies are evolving and are often abstruse, capturing them in words is difficult. Therefore, the drafting of the laws and regulations should be carried out by taking assistance of experts in the field.
- **Lack of concerted / consolidated efforts to address the overlapping issues.** Given that various regulators may get involved in regulating startups, the Government needs to demarcate the jurisdiction of each regulator due to a clear lack of inter-departmental liaising. For the industry, clarity in terms of the regulatory body who they should engage with is crucial. For example, in the past the [TRAI](#) and MeitY and now RBI and the Ministry of Health are all looking to regulate data in India, creating confusion in the market.

Therefore, the need of the hour is for the government to rethink its strategy while dealing with emerging technological advancements and new business models. A concerted intra – departmental effort to apply restraint in regulating the unknown and even going as far as allowing businesses to operate in the 'grey' is what we need to give rise to our homegrown startups that can rival the likes of Airbnb, Tesla, Uber, and others which are said to have grown at a scorching pace because they operated in a regulatory grey area! This approach will also force incumbent startups to adopt higher standards of corporate governance that take into account interest of all stakeholder to give confidence to the government to act as an enabler rather than a disruptor.

– [Kartik Maheshwari](#) & [Gowree Gokhale](#)

You can direct your queries or comments to the authors

DISCLAIMER

The contents of this hotline should not be construed as legal opinion. View detailed disclaimer.

This Hotline provides general information existing This is not a Spam mail. You have received this at the time of preparation. The Hotline is intended mail because you have either requested for it or as a news update and Nishith Desai Associates someone must have suggested your name. Since neither assumes nor accepts any responsibility for India has no anti-spamming law, we refer to the any loss arising to any person acting or refraining US directive, which states that a mail cannot be from acting as a result of any material contained in considered Spam if it contains the sender's contact this Hotline. It is recommended that professional information, which this mail does. In case this mail advice be taken based on the specific facts and doesn't concern you, please unsubscribe from circumstances. This Hotline does not substitute mailing list. the need to refer to the original pronouncements.

Collaborations in HigherEd : Recent developments in the Indian Regulatory Framework

June 21, 2018

Webinar: NDA Series on Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code: Part IV - Analysis of Ordinance 2018 amending the Code and what next?

June 14, 2018

NDA Connect

Connect with us at events, conferences and seminars.

NDA Hotline

Click here to view Hotline archives.

Video

Bloomberg: Is gambling in sports a good idea?

Webinar: The Authorities are here: Plan and Prepare to handle a crisis, before it happens

Webinar: NDA Series on Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code: Recent Jurisprudence, Issues and Proposed Amendments – Part III

