

Did you get a pink slip recently? You can question your employer in court

While court cases may take time to be resolved, the petitioners stand a better chance of a favourable ruling if they move the courts in groups.



Moneycontrol News

The [unceremonious sacking](#) of a [Tech Mahindra](#) employee has created buzz on social media. While Tech Mahindra's Chief Executive Officer CP Gurnani, Mahindra Group Chairman Anand Mahindra as well as Tech Mahindra Vice-chairman Vineet Nayyar [apologised](#) on Friday for the manner in which the individual was asked to leave, the incident serves as a reminder that employees do have a right to move court to question a termination decision.

In 2016, a Chennai court had said set aside the dismissal of an employee at an IT company, terming it unlawful. This ruling has implications for IT companies as well as a few thousand employees who have been recently fired en masse on grounds of non-performance.

The earlier order was given on an industrial dispute plea filed by K Ramesha, who was dismissed as Senior Service Programmer while working for [HCL Technologies](#).

Ramesha was fired in 2013, with the management citing poor performance as the reason. Ramesha filed a case against the company in Chennai's Labor Court for wrongful termination.

HCL had argued that as Ramesha was a 'supervisor', the labour rules covering 'workman' under the Industrial Disputes Act did not apply to him. But the court ruled that the job of an engineer in a software company involved skills and technical knowledge, and so Ramesha was a 'workman.'

More importantly, the court also said that HCL Technologies could not produce any evidence to show that failure to improve performance or failure to measure up to the expectations or standing orders of the company would amount to an act of misconduct.

HCL Tech had to reinstate Ramesha and pay full back wages and all other benefits from the date of dismissal to the date of reinstatement.

Leading IT companies have sacked a few thousand people between them over the last month. But in the majority of the cases, the employees were forced to resign so that the companies did not have pay severance packages.

In the recent Tech Mahindra case, the lady official during the course of the conversation (which was recorded and shared by the employee on social media), mentions that cost optimisation is the reason why the termination decision has been taken. She also added that there will not be any review of this decision.

The Industrial Disputes Act clearly spells out conditions of retrenchment of employees in an organisation and also says that 'last in, first out' policy is to be followed in terms of retrenchment.

The Act requires the employer to pay severance and notify the labour authorities of a retrenchment. In cases of industrial establishments having at least 100 (300 in select states) workmen, there is a requirement to obtain prior permission of the government to terminate workmen.

Vikram Shroff, Head, Employment and HR Law at Nishith Desai Associates explained that termination for reasons of non-performance or under-performance can become highly subjective on depend on fact-specific situations.

He also said that labour departments and courts in India receive several claims of unlawful or illegal termination, where employees demand reinstatement with back wages and continuity. The employer may be required to demonstrate that there was reasonable cause for termination and that proper processes were followed.

In the recent firings at IT firms, many employees were told that their performance was not up to the mark, and so they would have to resign.

While court cases may take time to be resolved, the petitioners stand a better chance of a favourable ruling if they move the courts in groups. Here, not only can the decision to terminate one or group of employees can be challenged, but a better severance pay can also be sought.

An earlier version of this story appeared on this website on May 22, 2017.